## The Dimroth Rearrangement. Part XVI. ${ }^{1}$ A New General Synthesis and Rearrangement of C-Alkylated 1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-1-methylpyrimidines

By Desmond J. Brown * and Kazuharu Ienega, John Curtin School of Medical Research, P.O. Box 334, Canberra City, Australia 2601

A new primary synthesis of 1,6-dihydro-6-imino-1-methylpyrimidine (3a) and its 2-, 4-, and/or 5 -alkylated derivatives (3c-0) is described: a 3 -aminoacrylonitrile (1) is converted by an orthoester into its 3-ethoxyalkylidene-amino-analogue (2) which undergoes methylaminolysis and subsequent cyclization to the required imine (3) ; a similar route gives the bicyclic imines ( $6 ; n=3$ or 4 ). All the imines rearrange in alkaline media to the corresponding 6(4)-methylaminopyrimidines [(4) or (7)], but at widely differing rates which depend on the position, type, and number of $C$-alkyl substituents. The rearrangement rates, ionization constants, and some mass spectra are discussed.

Studies on the Dimroth rearrangement of $4(6)$-iminopyrimidines have been confined to 1,6 -dihydro- 6 -imino1 -methylpyrimidine (3a) and its 2 -methylthio derivative $(3 \mathrm{~b}),{ }^{2}$ mainly because of preparative difficulties. We now report a new and general route to $C$-alkylated derivatives of the imine (3a) and the rates for their rearrangement to the corresponding methylaminopyrimidines (4).

Syntheses.-Our general synthetic route to the imines (3) involved the initial formation of an enaminonitrile (1) which was converted into its ethoxyalkylidene derivative (2) using an appropriate orthoester. Subsequent methylaminolysis of the ethoxy-group in the

1 Part XV, A. Albert, J.C.S. Perkin I, 1973, 2659.
${ }_{2}$ D. J. Brown and B. T. England, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1971, 2507.
intermediate (2) was followed by spontaneous cyclization to give the imine (3), isolated conveniently as its hydriodide.

The enaminonitriles ( $\mathbf{l} ; \mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{H}$ or alkyl, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$ ) were best made by the method of Sieveking and Lüttke ${ }^{3}$ involving lithium aluminium hydride reduction of malononitrile or an appropriate $C$-alkyl derivative
triethyl orthopropionate, an ethylated example (2n); and trimethyl orthoisobutyrate, ${ }^{9}$ the corresponding isopropyl derivative (20). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectra for most of these compounds were too complicated for complete assignment but mixtures of geometric isomers were clearly indicated and elemental analyses confirmed the isomeric nature of the constituents of each product.

(1)
(2)

| $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{H}$ | H | H |
| $\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{H}$ | H | SMe |
| $\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{Me}$ | H | H |
| $\mathrm{d} ; \mathrm{Et}$ | H | H |
| $\mathrm{e} ; \mathrm{Pr}^{\mathbf{i}}$ | H | H |
| $\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{H}$ | Me | H |
| $\mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathbf{i}}$ | H |
| $\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{Me}$ | Me | H |

$\left[\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$. This reaction gave the known ${ }^{3}$ products ( $1 ; \mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$ ) and their new homologues ( $1 ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}$ or $\operatorname{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$ ), each as a mixture of its cis- and trans-isomer ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. evidence: cf. ref. 3). The parent ( $1 ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$ ) was also obtained by aminolytic fission of isoxazole (cf. ref. 4) but the yield was poor. The other enaminonitriles $\left(1 ; \mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{H}\right.$ or alkyl, $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=$ alkyl) were made in high yield by condensation of two simple alkanonitriles in the presence of lithium diethylamide, a method outlined by Kulp ${ }^{5}$ for converting pimelonitrile into the cyclic enaminonitrile ( $5 ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}, n=4$ ). The products ( $1 ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}$ ) had been made previously ${ }^{6,7}$ by less effective methods. These and the homologues (1;

(5)
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ or $\operatorname{Pr}, \quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}}$ ) were also obtained and subsequently used as mixtures of cis- and trans-isomers [e.g. the nitrile ( $\left.\mathbf{1} ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ had $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $1.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, J 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CMe}_{2}\right.$ ), 2.40 (sept, $J 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ of $\operatorname{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}}$ ), $3.72(\mathrm{~s}, \alpha-\mathrm{CH})$, and $6 \cdot 4 \mathrm{br}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$; plus $1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J 8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ ), 2.40 (sept, $J 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ of $\mathrm{Pr}^{1}$ ), $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, \alpha-\mathrm{CH}$ ), and $6.4 \mathrm{br}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$ ]. The cyclic analogue $\left(5 ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, $n=3$ ) was made ${ }^{8}$ from adiponitrile.

Each enaminonitrile was then converted into the corresponding ethoxyalkylidene derivative (2) in which the substituent $R^{3}$ was determined by the orthoester used. Thus triethyl orthoformate gave the products (2a), (2c-i), and ( $5 ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N}$ :CHOEt, $n=3$ or 4 ); triethyl orthoacetate, the methyl homologues ( $2 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{m}$ );
${ }^{3}$ H. U. Sieveking and W. Lüttke, Angew. Chem., 1969, 81, 431.
${ }^{4}$ L. Claisen, Ber., 1909, 42, 59.
${ }^{5}$ S. S. Kulp, Canad. J. Chem., 1967, 45, 1981.
${ }^{6}$ H. Adkins and G. M. Whitman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1942, 64, 151.

(3)

|  | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{i} ;$ | $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| $\mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{H}$ | H | H |
| $\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{Me}$ | H | Me |
| $\mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{H}$ | Me | Me |
| $\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{Me}$ | Me | Me |
| $\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{H}$ | H | Et |
| $\mathrm{o} ; \mathrm{H}$ | H | $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathbf{1}}$ |

Treatment of each ethoxyalkylidene derivative (2) with ethanolic methylamine replaced the ethoxy- by a methylamino-group and brought about partial cyclization. This was completed during evaporation and subsequent dissolution of the residue in refluxing ethanol prior to addition of hydriodic acid to give the hydriodides of the iminopyrimidines (3a), ${ }^{2}(3 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{o})$, and ( $6 ; n=3$ or 4). Each was rearranged in warm alkali to give the methylaminopyrimidines (4a), ${ }^{2}(4 c-0)$, and ( $7 ; n=3$

(6)

(7)
or 4) respectively. The spectra (Tables 1 and 2 ) confirm the structures $[(3),(4),(6)$, and (7)].

Rearrangements.-The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values and u.v. spectra (Table 1) of the imines (3) and (6) and their respective methylamino-isomers (4) and (7) indicated that each rearrangement could be followed spectrometrically at $p \mathrm{H} 13 \cdot 0$, where no more than $1 \%$ of cation was present. Thus at a given temperature, the spectrum of each imine changed progressively to that of the corresponding methylamine, with two perfect isosbestic points during $>90 \%$ of the rearrangement. The change in optical density at 300 nm (or any other convenient wavelength) proved to be of the first-order: rates were expressed as $\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{1}}$-values (Table 3).

It is evident that the rate of rearrangement varied widely with the nature, number, and position of the $C$-alkyl substituents ( $c f$. the analogous behaviour of
${ }^{7}$ A. Kotelko, H. Mikolajewska, and B. Zajaczkowska, Acta Polon. Pharm., 1960, 17, 361 (Chem. Abs., 1961, 55, 14,295).
${ }^{8}$ Q. E. Thompson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1958, 80, 5483.
${ }^{2}$ S. M. McElvain and J. T. Venerable, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1950, 72, 1661.

Table 1
Ionization constants and u.v. spectra

| Compd. (3a) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | . $\mathrm{nm}(\log \varepsilon)$ [species] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10.04 | 293 (3.39), 249 (3.77), 243 (3.93) |
|  |  | 277 (3.48), 238 (3.59) [+] |
| (3c) | $9.82 \pm 0.06$ | $295(3.57), 250(3.94), 244(4.09)[0]$ |
| (3d) | $9.86 \pm 0.01$ | $\begin{aligned} & 274(3 \cdot 78), 235(3 \cdot 62)[+] \\ & 295(3.48), 252(3.85), 244(3 \cdot 96)[0] \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 275 (3.68), $233(3.70)[+]$ |
| (3e) | $9.86+0.03$ | 296 (3.55), $253(3.90)$, 246 (4.04) [0] |
|  |  | $276(3.76), 233(3.89)$ $284(3.49), 253(3.97), 245$ $24.12)$ |
| (3f) | (275) | $\begin{aligned} & 284(3 \cdot 49), 253(3.97), 245(4 \cdot 12)[0] \\ & 270(3 \cdot 61), 239(3 \cdot 68)[+] \end{aligned}$ |
| (3g) | $10.56 \pm 0.03$ | 293 (3.32), 251 (4.00), 244 (4-13) [0] |
| (3h) | $10.76 \pm 0.04$ | $270(3 \cdot 68), 232(3 \cdot 87)$ 293 $(3 \cdot 76), 253(4 \cdot 19), 249$ (4.20) |
|  |  | 274 (4.03), 244 (3.61) $[+]$ |
| (3i) | $10.35 \pm 0.03$ | 295 (3.58), $2555(3.97), 249$ (4.03) [0] |
|  |  | 277 (3.81), 238 (3.75) [+ |
| (3j) | $10.35 \pm 0.03$ | 299 (3.59), 251 (3.94), 242 (4.12) [0] |
|  |  |  |
| (3k) | 10.14 $\pm 0.04$ | $295(3 \cdot 66), 251$ (3.88), 244 (3.99) [0] <br> 278 (3.86), 235 (3.59) [+] |
| (31) | $11.01 \pm 0.04$ | $294(3 \cdot 46), 251(3 \cdot 99), 245$ (4.11) [0] |
|  | (278) | 273 (3.76), 233 (3.71) [+] |
| (3m) | $10.88 \pm 0.02$ | 293 (3.62), 253 (3.97), 246 (4.05) [0] |
|  | (275) | 278 (3.87), 234 (3.71) [ $[+]$ |
| (3n) | $10.05 \pm 0.06$ | 299 (3.55), 238 (4.11) [0] |
| (30) | $10 \cdot 32 \pm 0.03$ | $277(3.76), 228(3.96)$ $302(3.48), 238(4.04),[0]$ |
|  |  | 278 (3.71), 228 (3.94) $[+]$ |
| (4a) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6-12 | 276 (3.54), 242 (4-18) [0] |
|  |  | 254 (4-20) [ + ] |
| (4c) | $6.56 \pm 0.03$ | 273 (3.61), 243 (4.03) [0] |
| (4d) | $6.68+0.02$ | $259(4 \cdot 12)[+]$ (4.63), 244 (4.04) 01 |
|  |  | 259 (4-11) [+] |
| (4e) | $6.76 \pm 0.02$ | 273 (3.64), 245 (4.05) [0] |
|  |  | 260 (4-13) [+] |
| (4f) | $6.65 \pm 0.01$ | 270 (3.59), 243 (4-18) [0] |
|  |  |  |
| (4g) | 6.48 上 0.05 | $\begin{aligned} & 270(3 \cdot 55), 243(4 \cdot 10)[0] \\ & 258(4 \cdot 11) \end{aligned}$ |
| (4h) | $6.97 \pm 0.01$ | 268 (3.78), 244 (4.09) [0] |
| (4i) | $7.05+0.02$ |  |
|  |  | 267 (4-18) [ +1 |
| (4j) | $7.19 \pm 0.01$ | 279 (3.55), 241 (4.02) [0] |
|  |  | 252 (4.07) [+] |
| (4k) | $7.61 \pm 0.02$ | 276 (3.78), 242 (4.05) [0] |
| $(41){ }^{\text {d }}$ | 7.57 | ${ }_{275}^{258(4.14)}[+]$ (4.65) 242 (4.07) [0] |
|  |  | 255 (4-15) [ + +] |
| (4m) | $8.14 \pm 0.04$ | 272 (3.78), 245 (3.98) [0] |
| (4n) | $7.28 \pm 0.05$ | 263 $279(4 \cdot 11)$ $(3 \cdot 67), 240$ 2 |
|  |  | 253 (4-18) [+] |
| (40) | $7.41 \pm 0.03$ | 280 (3.65), 240 (4.11) [0] |
|  |  | 253 (4-15) [+] |
| $\stackrel{(6 ;}{=} 3)$ | $10.45 \pm 0.02$ | 293 (3.53), 255 (4.03), 248 (4.12) [0] |
|  |  | 274 (3.77), 239 (3.80) [+] |
| (6; | $10.34 \pm 0.05$ | 288 (3.54), 251 (3.99), 246 (4.07) [0] |
| $n=4)$ |  | 271 (3.73), $238(3.70)[+]$ |
| (7; | $6.50 \pm 0.03$ | 270 (3.75), 250 (4.05) [0] |
| (7; |  | 266 (4-14) [+] |
|  | $7 \cdot 20 \pm 0 \cdot 02$ | $265(3.79), 247$ (4.01) [0] |
| $n=4)$ |  | 263 (4-11) [+] |

a Except where indicated in parentheses, the analytical $\lambda$ for the imines [(3) and (6)] was 305 nm ; for the amines [(4) and (7)], it was the $\lambda_{\text {max. }}$ of each cation. ${ }^{b}$ Inflexions and shoulders in italics; neutral molecules [0] of imines at $\mathrm{pH} 13 \cdot 0$ and cations $[+]$ at $\mathrm{pH} 7 \cdot 0$; amines $[0]$ at pH 10 and $[+]$ at pH 4.0. © From ref. 2. d From ref. 20.
$C$-alkylated 1,2-dihydro-2-imino-1-methylpyrimidines ${ }^{10}$ ). Thus the addition of a 5 -alkyl group caused a marked $(25-190$ fold) slowing of rearrangement: $(3 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow \mathrm{c})$, $75 ;(3 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow \mathrm{d}), 93 ;(3 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow \mathrm{e}), 190 ;(3 \mathrm{~g} \longrightarrow \mathrm{i}), 61$;
$(3 \mathrm{j} \longrightarrow \mathrm{k}), 24$; and $(3 \mathrm{l} \longrightarrow \mathrm{m}), 41$ fold. The relative effect of individual groups was $5-\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}}>5-\mathrm{Et}>5-\mathrm{Me}$. The addition of a 4 - or 2 -alkyl group caused much less ( $<6$ fold) slowing: $(3 a \longrightarrow \mathrm{f}), 1 \cdot 6$; $(3 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow \mathrm{g}), 3 \cdot 2$; $(3 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow \mathrm{j}), 5 \cdot 2 ; \quad(3 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow \mathrm{n}), 1 \cdot 9 ; \quad(3 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow 0), 3 \cdot 3$; $(3 \mathrm{c} \longrightarrow \mathrm{h}), 3 \cdot 6 ;(3 \mathrm{e} \longrightarrow \mathrm{i}), 1 \cdot 6 ; \quad(3 \mathrm{f} \longrightarrow 1), 2 \cdot 3 ;$ and $(3 \mathrm{k} \longrightarrow \mathrm{m}), 1 \cdot 2$ fold. In two cases, $(3 \mathrm{~h} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~m})$ and $(3 \mathrm{j} \longrightarrow 1)$, there was a small increase in rate on adding a methyl group; moreover, the relative effects of methyl, ethyl, and isopropyl groups in the 2 - or 4 -position followed no fixed order.

We see the marked effect of a 5 -alkyl group as due to a combination of (a) mesomeric electron-enrichment at C-2 which discourages $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$attack, probably the first step ${ }^{11}$ in Dimroth rearrangement; and (b) steric hindrance to the $180^{\circ}$ rotation about the 5,6 -bond $(8 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow 8 \mathrm{~b})$, a necessary preliminary to non-reversible recyclization to the aromatic pyrimidine (4). The importance of the latter factor is confirmed in appropriate

molecular models and is consistent with the particularly large effect of an isopropyl group. The relatively minor effect of a 4 -alkyl group on rearrangement appears to arise from minimal mesomeric and inductive effects on $\mathrm{C}-2$ coupled with the lack of any steric factor. In contrast, the similarly minor effect of a 2 -alkyl group seems to be the result of several competing factors: (a) inductive electron-enrichment at C-2; (b) steric hindrance to hydroxy approach; (c) 1,2-bond instability from crowding in the $\mathrm{N}-1 / \mathrm{C}-2$ area following hydroxylation; and ( $d$ ) steric accentuation of the required conformation ( 8 b ) in the equilibrium ( $8 \mathrm{a} \rightleftharpoons 8 \mathrm{~b}$ ).

The tetramethylene derivative $(6 ; n=4)$ rearranged at a rate comparable with that of the 4,5 -dimethylanalogue ( 3 m ) but the trimethylene derivative ( 6 ; $n=3$ ) did so eight times faster. Molecular models indicated that two factors were probably involved: (a) the mild strain, introduced by annulation of the five (but not the six) membered ring, tended to elongate (destabilize) the 2,3 -bond; and (b) after fission of the 2,3-bond, there was less steric hindrance to the necessary rotation about the $4,4 \mathrm{a}$-bond in the intermediate from $(6 ; n=3)$ than in that from ( $6 ; n=4$ ).

Ionization and Mass Spectra.-The effect (Table 1) of added $C$-alkyl groups on the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of 6 -methylaminopyrimidine (4a) followed a normal pattern: the 4 -alkylations $[(4 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow \mathrm{f}$ or g$),(4 \mathrm{c} \longrightarrow \mathrm{h}),(4 \mathrm{e} \longrightarrow \mathrm{i}),(4 \mathrm{j} \longrightarrow \mathrm{l})$, and $(4 \mathrm{k} \longrightarrow \mathrm{m})]$ increased the basic strength by 0.3 0.5 units; the 5 -alkylations [( $4 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow \mathrm{c}$, d , or e$),(4 \mathrm{~g} \longrightarrow$
${ }^{10}$ D. J. Brown and J. S. Harper, J. Chem. Soc., 1963, 1276; D. J. Brown and M. N. Paddon-Row, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1967, 1928; D. J. Brown and B. T. England, ibid., 1971, 250.
${ }_{11}$ D. D. Perrin and I. H. Pitman, J. Chem. Soc., 1965, 7071.
i), $(4 \mathrm{j} \longrightarrow \mathrm{k})$, and $(4 \mathrm{l} \longrightarrow \mathrm{m})$ 〕 did likewise by $0.4-0.65$ units; and the 2 -alkylations $[(4 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{n}$, or o o$),(4 \mathrm{f} \longrightarrow$ l), and $(4 \mathrm{~h} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~m})$ ] caused a larger increase of $0.9-1.3$ units because the additional alkyl group was adjacent to both ring-nitrogen atoms. The basic strength of the
increases in $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $0.01-0.9$ units; in contrast, all the 5 -alkylations $[(3 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}),(3 \mathrm{~g} \longrightarrow \mathrm{i}),(3 \mathrm{j} \longrightarrow \mathrm{k})$, and $(31 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~m})$ ] decreased the basic strength by $0 \cdot 13-0.22$ units. We attribute this unusual phenomenon to a selective inductive strengthening of the imino-group as a

Table 2
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ N.m.r. spectra

| Compound | $\delta$ Values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| (3a) | 8.90 (s, 2-H), 8.40 (d, $\mathrm{J}^{\text {8 }}$, 4-H), 7.12 (d, $\mathrm{J}^{\text {8 }}$, 5-H), 3.75 (s, Me) |
| (3c) | 8.60 (s, 2-H), 8.15 (s, $4-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.70 (s, NMe), 2.14 (s, $5-\mathrm{Me}$ ) |
| (3d) | 8.81 (s, $2-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.29 (s, 4-H), 3.78 (s, NMe), 2.65 (q, $J$ 6, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.18 (t, $J$ 6, CMe) |
| (3e) | 8.70 (s, 2-H), 8.20 (s, 4-H), 3.72 (s, NMe), 3.07 (sept, $J$ 6, CH), 1.21 (d, $J$ 6, $\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ ) |
| (3f) | 8.80 (s, 2-H), 6.90 (s, 5-H), 3.72 (s, NMe), 2.40 (s, 4-Me) |
| (3g) | 8.83 (s, 2-H), 6.90 (s, $5-\mathrm{H}), 3.70$ (s, NMe), ca. $3.1(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}){ }^{\text {b }} 1.22$ (d, J 6, $\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ ) |
| (3h) |  |
| (3i) |  |
| (3j) |  |
| (3k) | $8.85 \mathrm{br}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), \mathrm{c} 8.20$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 3.72$ (s, NMe), 2.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2-\mathrm{Me}$ ), $2 \cdot 16$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 5-\mathrm{Me}$ ) |
| (31) | 6.78 (s, $5-\mathrm{H}), \mathbf{3 . 6 5}$ (s, NMe), 2.67 (s, 2-Me), 2.45 (s, $4-\mathrm{Me}$ ) |
| (3m) |  |
| (3n) |  |
| (30) | 8.60 (d, $J$ 8, 4-H), 7.02 (d, $J 8,5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.74 (s, NMe), 3.63 (sept, $J 7, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 1.25 (d, $J 7, \mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ ) |
| (4a) | 8.54 (d, $2-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.23 (d, $J 6,4-\mathrm{H}), 6.37$ (d, $J$ 6, $5-\mathrm{H}), 2.95$ (d, $J 5, \mathrm{NMe}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| (4c) |  |
| (4d) |  |
| (4e) |  |
| (4f) | 8.52 (s, 2-H), 6.21 (s, $5-\mathrm{H}), 5.81 \mathrm{br}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}),{ }^{e} 2.94$ (d, $\left.J 5, \mathrm{NMe}\right),{ }^{\text {d }} 2.36$ (s, $\left.4-\mathrm{Me}\right)$ |
| (4g) |  |
| (4h) | 8.46 (s, 2-H), 3.05 (d, J 5, NMe), 2.35 (s, $4-\mathrm{Me}$ ), 1.99 (s, $5-\mathrm{Me}$ ) |
| (4i) |  |
| (4j) |  |
| (4k) | 7.91 (s, 4-H), 6.80br (s, NH), ${ }^{\text {c }} 3.06$ (d, $J 5$, NMe), ${ }^{2} 2.52$ (s, 2-Me), 1.98 (s, $5-\mathrm{Me}$ ) |
| (41) | 6.08 (s, $5-\mathrm{H}), 5.55 \mathrm{br}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}),{ }^{\text {c }} 2.95$ (d, $J 5$, NMe), ${ }^{2} 2.52$ (s, $2-\mathrm{Me}$ ), 2.35 (s, $4-\mathrm{Me}$ ) |
| (4m) | $5.08 \mathrm{br}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}),{ }^{c} 3.02$ (d, $J 5, \mathrm{NMe}$ ), ${ }^{\text {d }} 2.48$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2-\mathrm{Me}$ ), 2.31 (s, $4-\mathrm{Me}$ ), 1.93 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 5-\mathrm{Me}\right)$ |
| (4n) | 8.22 (d, $J$ 6, 4-H), 6.20 (d, $J$ 6, $5-\mathrm{H}), 5.75 \mathrm{br}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), ¢ 2.93$ (d, $J 5, \mathrm{NMe}$ ), 2.77 (q, $J 8, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.31 (t, $\left.J 8, \mathrm{CMe}\right)$ |
| (40) |  |
| (6; $n=3$ ) | 8.75 (s, 2-H), 3.76 (s, NMe), $2.95 \mathrm{br}\left(\mathrm{s}, 5,7-\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{2}\right.$ ), 2.20br (s, $6-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) |
| (6; $n=4$ ) | 8.70 (s, 2-H), $\mathbf{3} 75$ (s, NMe), 2.70br (s, 5, $8-\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{2}$ ), $1 \cdot 80 \mathrm{br}$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 6,7-\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{2}$ ) |
| (7; $n=3$ ) |  |
| (7) $n=4$ ) | 8.51 (s, 2-H), 3.05 (d, $J 5$, NMe), d $3 \cdot 15 \mathrm{br}$ (s, $5,8-\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{2}$ ), $1 \cdot 80 \mathrm{br}\left(\mathrm{s}, 6,7-\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{2}\right]$ |
| a Hydrio <br> Pri poorly | ides of the imines (3) and (6) in $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$; amines (4) and (7) as bases in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} ; J$ values in Hz . ${ }^{b}$ Septet for CH o resolved. e Disappeared on adding $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Collapsed to singlet on adding $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. |

imine (3a) was affected quite differently by added $C$-alkyl groups: the 2 - or 4 -alkylations $[(3 \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{j}$,

Table 3
Rearrangement of 1,6-dihydro-6-imino-1-methylpyrimidines at pH 13

| Imine | $t_{1}\left(20^{\circ}\right) / \mathrm{min}$ | $t^{+}\left(70^{\circ}\right) / \mathrm{min}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (3a) | 29 |  |
| (3c) | 2160 | $11 \cdot 6$ |
| (3d) | 2700 | 15 |
| (3e) | $5400{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 30 |
| (3f) | 45 |  |
| (3g) | 94 |  |
| (3h) |  | 42 |
| (3i) | $5880{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 49 |
| (3j) | 150 |  |
| (3k) | 3600 | 30 |
| (31) | 103 |  |
| (3m) | $4200{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 35 |
| (3n) | 54 |  |
| (30) | 97 |  |
| (6; $n=3$ ) | 360 | 4•7 |
| (6; $n=4$ ) |  | 36 |

a Approximate value derived by multiplying that at $70^{\circ}$ by $t_{t}\left(20^{\circ}\right) / t_{\mathrm{z}}\left(70^{\circ}\right)$ for (3d). ${ }^{b}$ Approximate value similarly derived using data for ( 3 k ).
n, or o), $(3 \mathrm{c} \longrightarrow \mathrm{h}),(3 \mathrm{e} \longrightarrow \mathrm{i}),(3 \mathrm{f} \longrightarrow 1),(3 \mathrm{~h} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~m})$, $(3 \mathrm{j} \longrightarrow 1)$, and $(3 \mathrm{k} \longrightarrow \mathrm{m})$ ] caused apparently random
basic centre in the non-aromatic pyrimidine (3): this would increase the tendency to form the non-aromatic cation (9a) at the expense of the highly stable aromatic

cation ( 9 b ), the ready formation of which is the reason for the high basic strength of imines such as (3).

In contrast to the mass spectra of 6(4)-aminopyrimidines, ${ }^{12}$ the initial fragmentation steps for 6 -methylaminopyrimidines (Table 4) involved loss from the parent structure (10) of $\mathrm{HN}: \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (composed of $\mathrm{N}-1+$ $\left.N-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ to give (11) which then lost $\mathrm{R}^{3} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{R}^{3}+\mathrm{C}-2+\right.$ $\mathrm{N}-3$ of the original molecule) to give the fragment (12).

## EXPERIMENTAL

Analyses were done by the Australian National University Analytical Services Unit. M.p.s are corrected.
${ }^{12}$ T. Nishiwaki, Tetrahedron, 1966, 22, 3117; Q. N. Porter and J. Baldas, 'Mass Spectrometry of Heterocyclic Compounds,' Wiley, New York, 1971, p. 471 et seq.

Ionization constants were measured spectrometrically ${ }^{18}$ at $20^{\circ}$ and $<10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ concentration in buffers ${ }^{14}$ of $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ ionic strength; thermodynamic corrections were not applied. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ N.m.r. spectra were measured at 60 MHz and $33^{\circ}$ using $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as internal standard by Mr S . E. Brown; u.v. data were recorded on a Unicam SP 1800 followed by a manual check of peaks; mass spectra were done by Dr J. MacLeod using an A.E.I. MS9 instrument.

1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-1-methylpyrimidine (3a).-Malononitrile was reduced ${ }^{3}$ by lithium aluminium hydride to give a mixture of cis- and trans-3-aminoacrylonitrile (1; $\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ $\left.\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}\right) \quad(40 \%)$. Alternatively, isoxazole ${ }^{15}$ ( 1 g ) was heated with saturated ethanolic ammonia ( 20 ml ) in a sealed tube at $70^{\circ}$ for 24 h . The residue from evaporation was extracted with boiling chloroform. Passage of the extract through an alumina column followed by evaporation and distillation gave a mixed product ( $31 \%$ ), similar in

5-Ethyl-1,6-dihydro-6-imino-1-methylpyrimidine (3d).Ethylmalononitrile ${ }^{16}(8 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~g})$, dissolved in a mixture of ether $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ and tetrahydrofuran ( 40 ml ), was added in drops to a stirred solution of lithium aluminium hydride ( 5 g ) in a mixture of ether ( 400 ml ) and tetrahydrofuran ( 100 ml ) at $20-25^{\circ}$. After stirring for a further 3 h , water ( 10 ml ) was added carefully. The organic layer was then washed thoroughly with 5 N -sodium hydroxide ( 10 ml ) followed by water ( 30 ml ). Filtration, dehydration (potassium carbonate), and fractional distillation gave crude 3 -amino-2ethylacrylonitrile $\left(1 ; \quad R^{1}=E t, \quad R^{2}=H\right)(45 \%)$, b.p. $86-96^{\circ}$ at 0.3 mmHg . This was converted (as the de-ethyl homologue above) into 3 -ethoxymethyleneamino-2-ethylacrylonitrile ( 2 d ) ( $77 \%$ ), b.p. $95-105^{\circ}$ at 0.5 mmHg , and thence into the 5 -ethyl-6-iminopyrimidine hydriodide ( $78 \%$ ), $\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .240^{\circ}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, $31.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.7$; N, 15.7. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 31 \cdot 7 ; \mathrm{H}, 4 \cdot 6 ; \mathrm{N}, 15 \cdot 8 \%$ ).

Table 4
Mass spectra of 6-methylaminopyrimidines

composition to that above. The crude 3 -aminoacrylonitrile ( $3 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~g}$ ), triethyl orthoformate ( 25 ml ), and acetic anhydride ( 25 ml ) were heated under reflux for 15 min . Fractional distillation gave a mixture of cis- and trans-3ethoxymethyleneaminoacrylonitrile (2a) ( $61 \%$ ), b.p. $74^{\circ}$ at 0.5 mmHg . This crude material ( 0.5 g ) was added to ethanolic methylamine ( $33 \% ; 5 \mathrm{ml}$ ). After 10 min at $25^{\circ}$, the solution was evaporated in vacuo to remove the excess of amine. The oily residue was diluted with ethanol ( 5 ml ) and heated under reflux for 1 h to effect cyclization. The addition of a few drops of concentrated hydriodic acid followed by partial evaporation gave the iminopyrimidine hydriodide ( $70 \%$ ), m.p. $220^{\circ}$ (from ethanol) (lit., ${ }^{2} 218$ $220^{\circ}$ ), identical in u.v. and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectra with authentic material. ${ }^{2}$

1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-1,5-dimethylpyrimidine
(3c).— Methylmalononitrile was reduced to 3 -aminomethacrylonitrile ( $1 ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$ ) $(40 \%)^{3}$ The mixture of isomers ( $1 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was converted as above into crude 3-(ethoxymethyleneamino) methacrylonitrile (2c) (54\%), b.p. 75-78 ${ }^{\circ}$ at 0.15 mmHg , and thence by methylamine (cyclization; 3 h ) into 1,6-dihydro-6-imino-1,5-dimethylpyrimidine hydriodide ( $64 \%$ ), m.p. $224^{\circ}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, 29.0; $\mathrm{H}, 4.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.7 . \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 28.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.0 ; \mathrm{N}$, 16.7\%).
${ }^{13}$ A. Albert and E. P. Serjeant, ' Determination of Ionization Constants,' Chapman and Hall, London, 1971.
${ }^{14}$ D. D. Perrin, Austral. J. Chem., 1963, 16, 572.
${ }^{15}$ P. J. Tarsio and L. Nicholl, J. Org. Chem., 1957, 22, 192.

1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-5-isopropyl-1-methylpyrimidine (3e). -Isopropylmalononitrile ${ }^{17}$ was converted successively as above into 3 -amino-2-isopropylacrylonitrile ( $1 ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}}$, $\left.\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}\right)(36 \%)$, b.p. ca. $90^{\circ}$ at $0.3 \mathrm{mmHg}, 3$-ethoxy-methyleneamino-2-isopropylacrylonitrile (2e) ( $89 \%$ ), b.p. $105-115^{\circ}$ at 0.5 mmHg (Found: C, 65.2; H, 8.6. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 65 \cdot 0 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.5 \%$ ), and the imino-5-isopropylpyrimidine hydriodide (66\%), m.p. $222^{\circ}$ (Found: C, $34 \cdot 5 ; \mathrm{H}, 4 \cdot 9 ; \mathrm{N}, 14 \cdot 9 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 34 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{H}, 5 \cdot 1$; $\mathrm{N}, 15 \cdot 1 \%$ ).

1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-1,4-dimethylpyrimidine (3f).Lithium diethylamide ( 0.5 mol ) was prepared ${ }^{18}$ in ether $(400 \mathrm{ml})$ from lithium ( 7.35 g ), bromobenzene ( 79 g ), and diethylamine $(36.5 \mathrm{~g})$. The solution was cooled in a solid carbon dioxide-acetone bath prior to the addition of acetonitrile ( 40.1 g ) during 10 min . The mixture was then allowed to attain room temperature. After $12-16 \mathrm{~h}$, water was added and the ethereal layer was separated, dehydrated (magnesium sulphate), and evaporated. The residue crystallized from ethanol to give 3 -aminocrotononitrile ( $1 ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$ ) ( $93 \%$ ), m.p. $50^{\circ}$ (cf. lit.: $37 \%,{ }^{6} \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p} .50-53^{\circ}{ }^{19}$ ). This nitrile ( 9 g ), triethyl ortho-
${ }_{17}^{18}$ J. C. Hessler, Amer. Chem. J., 1899. 22, 169.
${ }_{17}$ P. Henry, Bull. Acad. roy. Belg., 1889, [3], 18, 670; Ber., 1891, 24, referate p. 73.
${ }^{18}$ R. F. Brown and N. M. van Gulick, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1955, 77\%, 1083.
${ }^{19}$ E. von Meyer, J. prakt. Chem., 1895, [2], 52, 81; J. J. Conn and A. Taurins, Canad. J. Chem., 1953, 31, 1211.
formate ( 30 ml ), and acetic anhydride ( 30 ml ) were heated under reflux for 20 min . Fractional distillation of the mixture gave 3 -ethoxymethyleneaminocrotononitrile (2f) ( $61 \%$ ), b.p. $70^{\circ}$ at 0.2 mmHg (Found: C, $61.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.3$; $\mathrm{N}, 20.4 . \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{7}} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 60.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.3$; $\mathrm{N}, \mathbf{2 0 . 3} \%$ ), which was converted (as for the isomeric methacrylonitrile above) into the 6 -imino-1,4-dimethylpyrimidine hydriodide ( $64 \%$ ) , m.p. $216^{\circ}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, 28.7; H, $4 \cdot 0 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.7 . \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 28.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 4 \cdot 0 ; \mathrm{N}$, $16.7 \%$ ).

1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-4-isopropyl-1-methylpyrimidine (3g). -Treatment of lithium diethylamide with acetonitrile followed by isobutyronitrile (as for the 4 -ethyl homologue above) gave 3-amino-4-methylpent-2-enonitrile ( $1 ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}}$ ) $\left(91 \%\right.$ ), b.p. $92-94^{\circ}$ at 0.35 mmHg (Found: C, $65 \cdot 1 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.9 ; \mathrm{N}, 25.5 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 65 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{H}, 9 \cdot 15$; $\mathrm{N}, 25 \cdot 4 \%$ ), which was converted into 3 -ethoxymethylene-amino-4-methylpent-2-enonitrile ( 2 g ) ( $85 \%$ ), b.p. $88^{\circ}$ at 0.1 mmHg (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 64.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.95 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 65 \cdot 0 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.5 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.85 \%$ ), and thence into the imino-4-isopropylpyrimidine hydriodide (71\%), m.p. $216^{\circ}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, 34.7; H, 4.9; N, 14.8. $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 34 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{H}, 5 \cdot 1 ; \mathrm{N}, 15 \cdot 1 \%$ ).

1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-1,4,5-trimethylpyrimidine (3h).-3-Amino-2-methylcrotononitrile ${ }^{7}\left(1 ; R^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathrm{Me}\right.$ ) was converted by triethyl orthoformate plus acetic anhydride into 3-ethoxymethyleneamino-2-methylcrotononitrile (2h) ( $60 \%$ ), b.p. $64^{\circ}$ at 0.3 mmHg (Found: C, $62.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.7$; $\mathrm{N}, 18 \cdot 2 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 63 \cdot 1 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.95 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.4 \%$ ). This intermediate $(0.76 \mathrm{~g})$ and ethanolic methylamine ( $33 \% ; 5 \mathrm{ml}$ ) were stirred for 3 h at $25^{\circ}$ prior to concentration in vacuo to ca. 1.5 ml . Several drops of concentrated hydriodic acid were added and the solution was heated on a steam-bath for 2 h . Refrigeration and subsequent concentration gave two crops of the imino-1,4,5trimethylpyrimidine hydriodide ( $70 \%$ ), m.p. $244^{\circ}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, $31.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.8 . \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 31 \cdot 7 ; \mathrm{H}, 4 \cdot 6 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.8 \%$ ).

1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-4,5-di-isopropyl-1-methylpyrimidine (3i).-Successive addition of isovaleronitrile and isobutyronitrile to ethereal lithium diethylamide (as above) gave a single solid product, 3-amino-2-isopropyl-4-methylpent-2-enonitrile ( $1 ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\operatorname{Pr}^{1}$ ) (90\%), m.p. 93-94 ${ }^{\circ}$ (from ethanol) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 71.4$; $\mathrm{H}, 10 \cdot 2$; $\mathrm{N}, 18 \cdot 1 . \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 71 \cdot 0 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 18 \cdot 4 \%$ ), which was converted into a single geometric isomer ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum) of 3-ethoxymethyleneamino-2-isopropyl-4-methylpent-2-eno-
nitrile (2i) ( $82 \%$ ), b.p. $76-82^{\circ}$ at 0.1 mmHg (Found: C, $69 \cdot 3 ; \mathrm{H}, 9 \cdot 6 ; \mathrm{N}, 13 \cdot 3 . \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 69 \cdot 2 ; \mathrm{H}$, $9.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.45 \%$ ) which reacted with methylamine [as for the parent imine (3a) but refluxing for 15 h to effect cyclization] to give the imino-4,5-di-isopropylpyrimidine hydriodide ( $50 \%$ ), m.p. $252^{\circ}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, $41.2 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.2 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.8 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 41.1 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.3 ; N, $13.1 \%$ ).

1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-1,2-dimethylpyrimidine (3j).-3Aminoacrylonitrile ( 4.0 g ), triethyl orthoacetate ( 40 ml ), and acetic anhydride ( 40 ml ) were heated under reflux for 2 h . Fractional distillation gave 3 -(1-ethoxyethylideneamino) acrylonitrile ( 2 j ) $(75 \%)$, b.p. $70^{\circ}$ at 0.4 mmHg , m.p. $84-85^{\circ}$ (from light petroleum). The crude intermediate ( 1.5 g ) and ethanolic methylamine ( $30 \%$; 12 ml ) were stirred at $25^{\circ}$ for 16 h . Evaporation and addition of a little hydriodic acid gave the imino-1,2-dimethylpyrimidine hydriodide ( $80 \%$ ), m.p. $246^{\circ}$ (from ethanol)
(Found: C, 28.9; H, 4.1; N, 16.4. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires C , 28.7 ; H, 4.0 ; N, $16.7 \%$ ).

1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-1,2,5-trimethylpyrimidine (3k).-3Aminomethacrylonitrile, triethyl orthoacetate, and acetic anhydride similarly gave 3-(1-ethoxyethylideneamino)methacrylonitrile ( 2 k ) ( $79 \%$ ). The crude product was converted (as in the foregoing preparation) into the imino-1,2,5-trimethylpyrimidine hydriodide ( $83 \%$ ), m.p. $244^{\circ}$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 31 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.9 ; \mathrm{N}, 15 \cdot 8 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires C , 31.7 ; H, 4.6 ; N, $15.8 \%$ ).

1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-1,2,4-trimethylpyrimidine (31).-3Aminocrotononitrile was treated as its isomer above to give successively a single geometric isomer of 3-(1-ethoxyethylideneamino)crotononitrile (21) (94\%), b.p. $76^{\circ}$ at 0.6 mmHg (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 63 \cdot 1 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.7$; N, 18.5. $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 63 \cdot 1 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.95 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.4 \%$ ), and the imino-1,2,4-trimethylpyrimidine hydriodide ( $82 \%$ ), m.p. $246^{\circ}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, 32.0; H, 4.6; N, 15.8. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 31 \cdot 7 ; \mathrm{H}, 4 \cdot 6 ; \mathrm{N}, 15 \cdot 8 \%$ ).

1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-1,2,4,5-tetramethylpyrimidine (3m).-3-Amino-2-methylcrotononitrile similarly gave 3-(1-ethoxy-ethylideneamino)-2-methylcrotononitrile ( 2 m ) ( $70 \%$ ), b.p. $81-85^{\circ}$ at 1 mmHg (Found: C, $65.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.8$; N, 16.7. $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 65.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.5 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.85 \%$ ), and thence the iminotetramethylpyrimidine hydriodide ( $71 \%$ ), m.p. $249^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 34•7; H, 5.0; N, $15 \cdot 0 . \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 34 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{H}, 5 \cdot 1 ; \mathrm{N}, 15 \cdot 1 \%$ ).
2-Ethyl-1,6-dihydro-6-imino-1-methylpyrimidine (3n).-3Aminoacrylonitrile was treated with triethyl orthopropionate and acetic anhydride [as for the lower homologue (1n)] to give 3-(1-ethoxypropylideneamino)acrylonitrile (2n) ( $67 \%$ ), b.p. $70^{\circ}$ at 0.15 mmHg (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 63 \cdot 3 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.8$; $\mathrm{N}, 18 \cdot 4 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 63 \cdot 1 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.95 ; \mathrm{N}, 18 \cdot 4 \%$ ), and thence the 2-ethyl-6-iminopyrimidine hydriodide ( $64 \%$ ), m.p. $215^{\circ}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, 31.8; H, 4.7; N, 15.6. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 31 \cdot 7 ; \mathrm{H}, 4 \cdot 6 ; \mathrm{N}, 15 \cdot 8 \%$ ).

1,6-Dihydro-6-imino-2-isopropyl-1-methylpyrimidine (30). -3-Aminoacrylonitrile was converted (as immediately above, but using trimethyl orthoisobutyrate ${ }^{9}$ ) into crude 3-(1-ethoxy-2-methylpropylideneamino)acrylonitrile (20) ( $80 \%$ ), and thence into the imino-2-isopropylpyrimidine hydriodide (72\%), m.p. $204^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 34.7; H, 5.0; $\mathrm{N}, 14 \cdot 9 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 34 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{H}, 5 \cdot 1 ; \mathrm{N}, 15 \cdot 1 \%$ ).

4,5,6,7-Tetrahydro-4-imino-3-methyl-3H-cyclopenta[d]pyrimidine ( $6 ; n=3$ ).-Adiponitrile was converted ${ }^{8}$ by sodium t-butoxide into 2 -aminocyclopentene-1-carbonitrile ( $5 ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}, n=3$ ) in $78 \%$ yield. This material $(4 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~g})$, triethyl orthoformate ( 50 ml ), and acetic anhydride ( 50 ml ) were heated under reflux for 30 min . The residue from evaporation gave a solid on prolonged refrigeration. This was filtered off and added to ethanolic methylamine ( $30 \%$; 30 ml ). After 20 min at room temperature, the solution was evaporated. The residue was diluted with ethanol $(25 \mathrm{ml})$ prior to heating under reflux for $3-4 \mathrm{~h}$. Hydriodic acid ( 5 ml ) was added. Evaporation gave the iminocyclopentapyrimidine hydriodide ( $62 \%$ ), m.p. 256-258 ${ }^{\circ}$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 34 \cdot 3 ; \mathrm{H}, 4 \cdot 6 ; \mathrm{N}, 15 \cdot 4 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 34 \cdot 7 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.4 ; N, $15 \cdot 2 \%$ ).

3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-4-imino-3-methylquinazoline (6; $n=4$ ).-2-Aminocyclohexene-1-carbonitrile (5; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, $n=4)^{5}$ was treated as the corresponding cyclopentene (foregoing preparation) to give the hexahydro-iminoquinazoline hydriodide ( $60 \%$ ), m.p. $222^{\circ}$ (from ethanol) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, \mathbf{3 7} \cdot 3 ; \mathrm{H}, 5 \cdot 0 ; \mathrm{N}, 14 \cdot 15 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{IN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 37 \cdot 1 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.85; N, 14.4\%).

4(6)-Methylaminopyrimidines $[(4)$ and (7)].-The hydriodide of each iminopyrimidine (3) (ca. 75 mg ) and 2 m -sodium hydroxide ( 5 ml ) were heated under reflux on a water-bath at $80^{\circ}$ for 3 h . The cooled solution was extracted with chloroform ( $10 \times 5 \mathrm{ml}$ ). After dehydration (magnesium sulphate) of the extract, the chloroform was evaporated off at room temperature in a partial vacuum to give the appropriate methylaminopyrimidine (sometimes better converted into the hydrochloride by passing hydrogen chloride into an ethanolic solution): 5-methyl-4-(4c) (92\%), m.p. $124^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 58.3; H, 7.3; N, 34.2. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires C, $58 \cdot 5 ; \mathrm{H}, 7 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{N}, 34 \cdot 1 \%$ ) ; 5-ethyl-4-(4d) (94\%), m.p. $148^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 61.6; H, 8.3; N, 30.5. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 61.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.1$; $\mathrm{N}, 30.6 \%$ ) ; 5 -isopropyl-4- (4e) ( $91 \%$ ), m.p. $121^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 63.3; H, 9.l; N, 27.6. $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires C, $63.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 27.8 \%$ ); 4-methyl-6(4f) $\left(97 \%\right.$ ), m.p. $115^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 58.4 ; H, $7 \cdot 5$; N, $34 \cdot 0$. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 58 \cdot 5 ; \mathrm{H}, 7 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{N}, 34 \cdot 1 \%$ ); hydrochloride of 4-isopropyl-6- (4g) (94\%), m.p. $257^{\circ}$ (Found: C, $51 \cdot 6$; $\mathrm{H}, 7.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 22.4 . \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClN}_{3}$ requires C, $51 \cdot 2 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.5 ; \mathrm{N}$, $22.4 \%$ ) ; 4,5-dimethyl-6- (4h) ( $96 \%$ after 12 h heating), m.p. $136^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 61.3; H, 8.4; N, 30.7. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 61.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.1 ; \mathrm{N}, 30 \cdot 6 \%$ ) ; 4,5-di-isopropyl-6(4i) $\left(95 \%\right.$ after 12 h heating), m.p. $130^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 68.5; $\mathrm{H}, \mathbf{9 . 9}$; $\mathrm{N}, 21.6 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 68.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.9$; N , $21.7 \%$ ); 2-methyl-4- (4j) (95\%), m.p. $90^{\circ}$ (Found: C, $58 \cdot 6$; $\mathrm{H}, 7 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{N}, 34 \cdot 35 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 58.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 7 \cdot 4$; N , $34 \cdot 1 \%$ ) ; 2,5-dimethyl-4- (4k) ( $90 \%$ after 12 h heating), m.p. $114^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 61.0; H, 8.1; N, 30.6. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 61 \cdot 3 ; \mathrm{H}, 8 \cdot 1 ; \mathrm{N}, 30 \cdot 6 \%$ ) ; 4,5-dimethyl-6(41) ( $96 \%$ after 12 h heating), m.p. $127^{\circ}$ (lit., ${ }^{20} 126^{\circ}$ ); 2,4,5-trimethyl-6- (4m) (91\% after 12 h heating), m.p. 94-96 (Found: C, $63 \cdot 6 ; \mathrm{H}, 8 \cdot 6 . \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires C, $63.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.7 \%$ ); hydrochloride of 2 -ethyl-4- ( 4 n ) ( $93 \%$ ), m.p. $247^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 48.8 ; H, 6.9; N, 24.4. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 48 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{H}, 7 \cdot 0 ; \mathrm{N}, 24.2 \%$ ) ; and the hydrochloride
${ }^{20}$ D. J. Brown, B. T. England, and J. M. Lyall, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1966, 226.
of 2-isopropyl-4-methylaminopyrimidine (40) (93\%), m.p. $195^{\circ}$ (Found: C, $51 \cdot 1$; $\mathrm{H}, 7 \cdot 2$; N, 22.6. $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClN}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 51 \cdot 2 ; \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{7 . 5} ; \mathrm{N}, 22.4 \%$ ). A similar procedure gave 4-methylaminopyrimidine (4a) ( $93 \%$ ), m.p. 69-72 ${ }^{\circ}$ (lit., ${ }^{21}$ 69-75 ${ }^{\circ}$ ); 6,7-dihydro-4-methylamino-5H-cyclopenta[d]pyrimidine ( $7 ; n=3$ ) ( $96 \%$ after 12 h heating), m.p. 157-158 ${ }^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 64.4; H, 7.5; N, 28.4. $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 64 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{H}, 7 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{N}, 28 \cdot 2 \%$ ) ; and $5,6,7,8$-tetrahydro-4-methylaminoquinazoline (7; $n=4$ ) ( $91 \%$ after 10 h heating), m.p. $116^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 66.4; H, 8.3; N, 25.4. $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 66 \cdot 2 ; \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{8 . 0} ; \mathrm{N}, \mathbf{2 5 . 7} \%$ ).

Rate Measurements.-A $2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$-solution of each imine hydriodide at $20^{\circ}$ was diluted with $20.0 \%$ of its volume of lm-potassium hydroxide, also at $20^{\circ}$, to give a final solution at pH 13 ; this was transferred to a spectrophotometer cell. The optical density of the mixture at 300 nm was read immediately and at intervals during $90 \%$ of the rearrangement; $t_{0}$ was obtained by extrapolation in the faster rearrangements. The cell was removed from the instrument (in a $20 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ room) between readings. At $t_{\infty}(24 \mathrm{~h})$, the whole spectrum was almost indistinguishable from that of the corresponding authentic methylaminopyrimidine recorded under comparable conditions with an appropriate [ $\mathrm{I}^{-}$] in both cells. Plots of $\log \left[\left(D_{0}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.D_{\infty}\right) /\left(D-D_{\infty}\right)\right]$ versus time were rectilinear: $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$ values derived therefrom were repeatable within $\pm 5 \%$.

For slow rearrangements, an appropriately larger volume of mixture, made as above from individual solutions preheated to $70^{\circ}$, was maintained in a thermostat at $70 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$. Samples, withdrawn at intervals, were rapidly cooled in ice-water before measurement of their optical density.
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